

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO IDAHO )  
BAR COMMISSION RULES (I.B.C.R.) ) ORDER AMENDING RULES  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

The Board of Commissioners of the Idaho State Bar having presented proposed changes to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules (I.B.C.R.), and the Idaho Supreme Court having reviewed and approved the recommendations;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Idaho Bar Commission Rules (I.B.C.R.), as they appear in the Idaho State Bar Desk Book and on the Idaho State Bar website be, and they are hereby, amended as follows:

## SECTION II ADMISSIONS

\*\*\*

### RULE 210. Examination.

#### (h) Grading of the Examination.

All bar examinations shall be graded and reviewed under the direction of the Board in accordance with the Bar Examination Grading Standards and Procedures adopted by the Supreme Court.

(1) **Passing Grade.** A passing grade upon the Bar Examination shall be a grade of not less than 70% of the highest possible grade, determined as provided by the Bar Examination Grading Standards and Procedures. ~~The essay examination, including the MEE, and MPT shall constitute 2/3 of the available points and the Multistate Bar Examination shall constitute 1/3 of the available points.~~

(2) **Reevaluation.** The examination paper of an applicant whose scaled score falls within the range of 66-2/3% to 70% of the highest possible scaled score shall be reviewed as provided by the Bar Examination Grading Standards and Procedures.

\*\*\*

**SECTION VI**  
**CLIENT ASSISTANCE FUND**

\*\*\*

**RULE 606. Limitations on Amount of Reimbursement.** The maximum amount which any one claimant may recover from the Fund arising from an instance or course of dishonest conduct by the lawyer is ~~\$15,000.00~~ \$20,000.00.


\*\*\*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendments to IBCR 210 and 606 shall be effective July 1, 2010.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the above designation of the striking of words from the Rules by lining through them, and the designation of the addition of new portions of the Rules by underlining such new portion is for the purposes of information only as amended, and NO OTHER AMENDMENTS ARE INTENDED. The lining through and underlining shall not be considered a part of the permanent Rules.

DATED this 19 day of September, 2009.

By Order of the Supreme Court

  
Daniel T. Eismann, Chief Justice

ATTEST:

Stephen Kenyon  
Clerk

I, Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho, do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the Order entered in the above entitled cause and now on record in my office.

WITNESS my hand and the Seal of this Court 9/22/09

STEPHEN W. KENYON Clerk

By: Kimberly Grane Deputy